## **AUDITING PROCEDURES REPORT**

Issued under P.A. 2 of 1968, as amended. Filing is mandatory.  Local Government Type:  Local Government Name:					County	County		
City Township Village	] Other	District Court Funds of Shores, Michigan	<u>District Court Funds of District No. 40, City of St. Clair Shores, Michigan</u>					
Audit Date	Opinion	Date	ate Date Accountant Repo			ort Submitted To State:		
June 30, 2005	-	per 26, 2005						
We have audited the financial statements with the Statements of the Government Counties and Local Units of Government	al Account	ting Standards Board (GA	ASB) and the $U$	Iniform Reporting				
We affirm that:  1. We have complied with the <i>Bulletin</i> at 2. We are certified public accountants			ernment in Mich	nigan as revised.				
We further affirm the following. "Yes" resand recommendations.	sponses h	ave been disclosed in the	financial staten	nents, including the	e notes, or in the	he report of comments		
yes \( \) no \( 2. \) There are accum \( \) yes \( \) no \( 3. \) There are instance order issued und order issued und \( \) yes \( \) no \( 5. \) The local unit how \( [MCL 129.91] \) or \( \) yes \( \) no \( 6. \) The local unit has \( (normal costs) in normal cost required by \( \) yes \( \) no \( 8. \) The local unit use	ent units/fu nulated def ces of nor s violated t ler the Em lds deposi P.A. 55 of s been de s violated t n the curre uirement, r es credit c	pelow: unds/agencies of the local ficits in one or more of this n-compliance with the Unit the conditions of either and nergency Municipal Loan A its/investments which do n f 1982, as amended [MCL elinquent in distributing tax the Constitutional requiren ent year. If the plan is mo no contributions are due (p cards and has not adopted pted an investment policy	unit's unreserve form Accounting order issued un Act. not comply with 2 38.1132]) a revenues that ment (Article 9, 8 ore than 100% for oaid during the I an applicable p	ed fund balances/r g and Budgeting A der the Municipal F statutory requirem were collected for Section 24) to fund funded and the ov year). policy as required	retained earning Act (P.A. 2 of 1 Finance Act or nents. (P.A. 20 another taxing current year early early by P.A. 266 of	ngs (P.A. 275 of 1980) 1968, as amended). its requirements, or ar 0 of 1943, as amended g unit. arned pension benefits dits are more than the		
We have enclosed the following:				Enclosed	To Be Forwarde	Not ed Required		
The letter of comments and recommend	dations.				$\vdash$	<u> </u>		
Reports on individual federal assistance	program:	s (program audits).		<del>                                     </del>				
Single Audit Reports (ASLGU).	<u> </u>	- (I' <b>3</b> ,		<del>                                     </del>	+ =			
Certified Public Accountant (Firm Name	): <b>F</b>	Plante & Morar	ı, PLLC					
Street Address City					State	ZIP		
10 South Main Street, Suite 200 Mount Clem				ens	Michigan	48043		
Accountant Signature		<del></del>						

Financial Report June 30, 200*5* 

	Contents
Report Letter	I
Basic Financial Statements	
Governmental Funds Balance Sheet/Statement of Net Assets - Operating Account	2
Statement of Governmental Fund Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance/Statement of Activities - Operating Account	3
Budgetary Comparison Schedule - Operating Account	4
Statement of Net Assets - Fiduciary Funds	5
Notes to Financial Statements	6-8

#### Plante & Moran, PLLC



Suite 20C 10 S. Main St. Mount Clemens, MI 48043 Tel: 586.465.220C Fax: 586.469.0165 plantemoran.com

#### Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Judges District Court No. 40 St. Clair Shores, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of District Court Funds of District No. 40 (a component unit of the City of St. Clair Shores, Michigan) as of June 30, 2005 and for the year then ended, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the management of District Court Funds of District No. 40, City of St. Clair Shores, Michigan. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the basic financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of District Court Funds of District No. 40, City of St. Clair Shores, Michigan as of June 30, 2005 and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

District Court Funds of District No. 40 has not presented a management's discussion and analysis (MD&A), which would be an analysis of the financial performance for the year. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board has determined that the MD&A is necessary to supplement, although not required to be a part of, the basic financial statements.

Plante & Moran, PLLC

September 26, 2005



# Governmental Funds Balance Sheet/Statement of Net Assets Operating Account June 30, 2005

	Ac	perating count - odified			Stat	ement of	
	Accrual Basis		Adjus	Adjustments		Net Assets	
Assets - Due from District Control Unit	<u>\$</u>	2,639	\$		<u>\$</u>	2,639	
<b>Liabilities</b> - Bank overdraft	\$	2,639	\$	_	\$	2,639	

# Statement of Governmental Fund Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance/Statement of Activities Operating Account Year Ended June 30, 2005

	Operating Account - Modified Accrual Basis		Adjustments		Statement of Activities	
Revenue						
Funding from District Control Unit	\$	279,939	\$	-	\$	279,939
Interest		77				77
Total revenue		280,016		-		280,016
Expenditures						
Office supplies		38,802		-		38,802
Operating supplies		11,665		-		11,665
Services		109,509		-		109,509
Phones and communications		23,692		-		23,692
Travel		87		-		87
Printing		14,423		-		14,423
Utilities		4,662		-		4,662
Repairs and maintenance		55,362		-		55,362
Rentals		14,838		-		14,838
Miscellaneous		6,976				6,976
Total expenditures		280,016				280,016
Excess of Revenue Over Expenditures/						
Change in Net Assets		-		-		-
Fund Balance/Net Assets - Beginning of year						
Fund Balance/Net Assets - End of year	\$		\$	-	\$	-

## Budgetary Comparison Schedule Operating Account Year Ended June 30, 2005

					\	/ariance
	Original	A	mended		Fa	avorable
	 Budget	Budget		 Actual	(Unfavorable	
Revenue						
Funding from District Control						
Unit	\$ 312,550	\$	305,550	\$ 279,939	\$	(25,611)
Interest	 _		_	77		77
Total revenue	312,550		305,550	280,016		(25,534)
Expenditures						
Office supplies	40,000		40,000	38,802		1,198
Operating supplies	34,050		34,050	11,665		22,385
Services	88,500		88,500	109,509		(21,009)
Phones and communications	34,000		34,000	23,692		10,308
Travel	500		500	87		413
Printing	15,000		15,000	14,423		577
Utilities	9,000		9,000	4,662		4,338
Repairs and maintenance	60,000		59,500	55,362		4,138
Rentals	21,000		14,500	14,838		(338)
Miscellaneous	 10,500		10,500	 6,976		3,524
Total expenditures	 312,550		305,550	 280,016		25,534
Excess of Revenue Over						
Expenditures	-		_	-		-
Fund Balance - Beginning of year	 			 		
Fund Balance - End of year	\$ 	\$		\$ 	\$	

## Statement of Net Assets Fiduciary Funds June 30, 2005

	Agency Funds				
			General		
	Bor	nd Account	Account		
Assets - Cash and cash equivalents (Note 3)	<u>\$</u>	104,825	<u>\$</u>	563,319	
Liabilities					
Due to:					
District Control Unit	\$	-	\$	411,482	
Macomb County		-		70,761	
State of Michigan		-		6,865	
Refundable bonds		104,825		-	
Garnishments, restitution, and other				74,211	
Total liabilities	<u>\$</u>	104,825	\$	563,319	

# Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2005

## **Note I - Significant Accounting Policies**

The accounting policies of District Court Funds of District No. 40, City of St. Clair Shores, Michigan (the "District Court") conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as applicable to governmental units. The District Court is governed by two elected judges. There are no component units.

The following is a summary of the significant accounting policies used by District Court Funds of District No. 40:

The District Court's basic financial statements present combined governmental fund modified accrual financial statements and government-wide full accrual financial statements.

The government-wide full accrual financial statements (the statement of net assets and the statement of activities) are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenue is recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized in the accounting period in which they become susceptible to accrual - that is, when they become both measurable and available. Revenue is considered to be available if it is collected within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period, generally collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting.

As of and for the year ended June 30, 2005, no adjustments were necessary in order to arrive at the government-wide full accrual financial statements.

The District Court has elected not to present a management's discussion and analysis.

The District Court of the City of St. Clair Shores, Michigan is a component unit of the City of St. Clair Shores, Michigan (the "City") and is included in the basic financial statements of the City at June 30, 2005.

The operating account of the District Court is a governmental fund. The financial activities of the fund are limited to collection of subsidies from the City of St. Clair Shores General Fund and payment of expenditures.

# Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2005

## **Note I - Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

The bond and general accounts of the District Court are Agency Funds. The financial activities of the funds are limited to collection of amounts that are subsequently returned or paid to third parties. The funds are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve the measurement of results of operations.

## **Note 2 - Court Operations**

The payroll costs relating to the operation of the District Court are a budgeted item of the City of St. Clair Shores, Michigan General Fund and, accordingly, such costs are paid by the City's General Fund. All other costs are paid from the operating account of the District Court.

## **Note 3 - Deposits and Investments**

Michigan Compiled Laws Section 129.91 (Public Act 20 of 1943, as amended) authorizes the District Court to make deposits and invest in the accounts of federally insured banks, credit unions, and savings and loan associations that have offices in Michigan. The District Court is allowed to invest in bonds, securities, and other direct obligations of the United States or any agency or instrumentality of the United States; repurchase agreements; bankers' acceptances of United States banks; commercial paper rated within the two highest classifications, which mature not more than 270 days after the date of purchase; obligations of the State of Michigan or its political subdivisions, which are rated as investment grade; and mutual funds composed of investment vehicles that are legal for direct investment by local units of government in Michigan. The District Court has designated one bank for the deposit of its funds. The District Court's deposits and investment policies are in accordance with statutory authority.

## **Custodial Credit Risk of Bank Deposits**

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the District Court's deposits may not be returned to it. The District Court does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk. At year end, the District Court had approximately \$487,000 of bank deposits (checking and savings accounts) that were uninsured and uncollateralized. The District Court believes that due to the dollar amounts of cash deposits and the limits of FDIC insurance, it is impractical to insure all deposits. As a result, the District Court evaluates each financial institution with which it deposits funds and assesses the level of risk of each institution; only those institutions with an acceptable estimated risk level are used as depositories.

# Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2005

## Note 3 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

#### **Credit Risk**

State law limits investments in commercial paper to the top two ratings issued by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations. The City has no investment policy that would further limit its investment choices. As of year end, the credit quality ratings of debt securities (other than the U.S. government) are as follows:

Investment	Fair	· Value	Rating	Rating Organization		
Bank investment pool	\$	97,743	AAA	Standard and Poor's		

## **Note 4 - Budget Information**

The budget of the operating account is prepared by the District Court management and adopted by the city council; subsequent amendments are approved by the city council. Unexpended appropriations lapse at year end; encumbrances are not included as expenditures. The amount of encumbrances outstanding at June 30, 2005 has not been calculated. During the year, the budget was amended in a legally permissible manner.

The budget has been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The budget has been adopted on a total expenditure basis, which reflects the District Court's activity; expenditures at this level in excess of amounts budgeted are a violation of Michigan law. The budget statement has been presented on a line-item basis for informational purposes.

## Note 5 - Risk Management

The District Court is exposed to various risks of loss related to property loss, torts, errors and omissions, and employee injuries (workers' compensation), as well as medical benefits provided to employees. The District Court is a component unit of the City of St. Clair Shores and all risks of loss are borne by the City.





Suite 200 10 S. Main St. Mount Clemens, MI 48043 Tel: 586.465.220C Fax: 586.469.0165 plantemoran.com

September 26, 2005

To the Honorable Judges of District Court No. 40 District Court Funds of District No. 40 27701 Jefferson Avenue St. Clair Shores, MI 48081

Dear Judge Fratarcangeli and Judge Oster:

We have recently completed our audit of the District Court Funds of District No. 40, City of St. Clair Shores, MI for the year ended June 30, 2005. In addition to our audit report, we offer the following comments and recommendations for your consideration:

#### **Bank Reconciliations**

Sound internal controls include the reconciliation of bank accounts from the bank statement to the general ledger on a monthly basis. During the course of our audit, we noted that all of the District Court's bank reconciliations had differences ranging from approximately \$90 to \$18,100 that were not reconciled or resolved. The monthly reconciliation of bank accounts helps ensure that accurate financial information is available on a timely basis and serves as one of the most important aspects of the internal control environment. In addition, we noted that bank reconciliations generally were not subject to a supervisory approval after preparation. Review and approval of the bank reconciliations will not only assist in identifying errors but will also improve the segregation of key accounting duties among the District Court staff. As you are likely aware, appropriate segregation of job responsibilities is the foundation for a sound system of internal control and is also a primary tool in the prevention of fraud.

We recommend that the District Court implement procedures to ensure that all differences identified in the bank reconciliation process are resolved and that the functions of District Court personnel be revised to permit the review of bank reconciliations after completion.

#### **Bond Subsidiary Ledger Reconciliation**

During our testing of the bond account, we noted that the bond subsidiary ledger (open bond listing) did not agree to the cash balance of the bond checking account and the amount reported in the general ledger. The District Court administrator has indicated that the bond checking account balance is correct and that the open bond listing has not been appropriately updated. We recommend that the District Court implement procedures to reconcile the open bond listing to the correct balance and to create a methodology for reconciling the listing on a monthly basis.



District Court Funds of District No. 40

We would like to thank the District Court for the opportunity to serve as auditors. If you would like to discuss any of these matters further or would like assistance in the implementation of changes, please contact us.

Very truly yours, Plante & Moran, PLLC

David V. Mult

David V. Grubb

cc: Mr. Kenneth Podolski

Mr. Timothy Haney